



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/399,919	09/21/1999	RAN GINOSAR	004198.P002	2838

23419 7590 10/22/2002

COOLEY GODWARD, LLP
3000 EL CAMINO REAL
5 PALO ALTO SQUARE
PALO ALTO, CA 94306

EXAMINER

CHOW, DOON Y

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2675

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/399,919

Applicant(s)

GINOSAR, RAN

Examiner

Dennis-Doon Chow

Art Unit

2675

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 July 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaiken et al (6116767).

Chaiken discloses an apparatus comprising: an instant on mode (CD mode); a non-instant on mode (computer mode); a plurality of input/output device capable of being used in the instant on mode and the non-instant on mode (see Fig. 1); a switching means for selecting the instant on mode and the non-instant on mode; a processor to execute instructions in the instant on mode (see Fig. 1); a processor to execute instructions in the non-instant on mode (see Fig. 1); a processor to execute instruction in the instant on mode or the non-instant on mode (see Fig. 1); and the input and output devices includes a keyboard and a display device. The instant on mode and the non-instant on mode inherently comprise a first and second plurality of electronic components because each mode needs more than one electronic component to operate.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs et al. (6006285).

Art Unit: 2675

Jacobs discloses an apparatus comprising: an instant on mode (CD mode); a non-instant on mode (computer mode); a plurality of input/output device capable of being used in the instant on mode and the non-instant on mode (see Fig. 1); a switching means for selecting the instant on mode and the non-instant on mode; a processor to execute instructions in the instant on mode (see Fig. 1); a processor to execute instructions in the non-instant on mode (see Fig. 1); a processor to execute instruction in the instant on mode or the non-instant on mode (see Fig. 1); and the input and output devices includes a keyboard and a display device. The instant on mode and the non-instant on mode inherently comprise a first and second plurality of electronic components because each mode needs more than one electronic component to operate.

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art in view of Chaiken et al (6116767).

The admitted prior art discloses a computer system (Fig. 1) comprising a laptop computer (100) and a handheld device (125). Each of the laptop computer and the handheld device comprising a processor for executing instructions and a memory for storing data information, wherein the processors operate simultaneously to synchronize data between each memory.

The admitted prior art does not explicitly disclose the switching mechanisms.

Chaiken, in the same computer art, discloses a computer system comprising a computer device, a CD player, and a switching mechanism for selecting the computer device and the CD player.

Art Unit: 2675

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Chaiken's switching mechanism in the computer system of the admitted prior art for selecting the laptop computer and the handheld device. By doing so, the operations of the laptop computer and the handheld device can be selected.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 703-305-4398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, Alternate Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras can be reached on 703-305-9720. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

D. Chow
October 18, 2002


DENNIS-DOON CHOW
703-305-4398